Background Simulations in PEP

Outline

- 1. History
- 2. Tools
- 3. Comparison of BaBar and PEP-N

History of Background Simulations in PEP-I and PEP-II

- 1. As far as I know, every PEP experiment has used the same codes to generate and track synchrotron radiation and beam-gas bremsstrahlung.
- 2. The tools to simulate detector response differed by experiment.
- 3. The BABAR collaboration spent a minimum of five man years working on detector backgrounds before approval by the SLAC EPAC.

Useful References

- 1. BABAR Technical Design Report, 1995, Chapter 12.
- Study of Beam-induced Particle Backgrounds at the LEP Detectors, Nuc. Inst. Meth. in Physics Research A403 (1998) 205-246.

Tools

Synchrotron Radiation

QSRAD: modified by Mike Sullivan and now called SYNC_BKG - generates hits on masks and beam pipes

EGS4 (OBJEGS): hits on masks \Rightarrow hits in detectors (includes fluorescence and coherent scattering)

Beam-gas Bremsstrahlung (electrons and photons) and Single Coulomb Scattering

DECAY TURTLE: modified by W. Kozanecki and now called LPTURTLE - generates ray files of hits on masks and beam pipes. Ted Fieguth has written programs which take a description of the vacuum chamber as a function of S around the ring and generate an input file for LPTURTLE

GEANT: hits on masks and other apertures \Rightarrow hits in detectors from electromagnetic shower particles.

Radiative Bhabhas (this process limits the beam lifetime in LEP and is used for the luminosity monitor in BABAR).

BBBREM: developed for LEP by R. Kleiss and H. Burkhardt – used as a source of off-energy electrons and positrons for GEANT.

Tools (cont.)

Beam-gas Interactions near the IP, Producing Low Energy Hadrons

EPC (electro-production code): generates hadrons which can be tracked by GEANT through the detectors.

It's possible that GEANT or FLUKA could also be used as a source code.

Comparisons with BABAR

Synchrotron Radiation (LER)

- 1. Design masks so no photons hit the beam pipe inside the central detector. Taper the mask surfaces so that a single bounce cannot hit the detector. Can't avoid a single bounce for photons which hit within a few microns of the mask tip.
- 2. The photon critical energies from the nearby dipoles and offset quads are similar to BABAR, i.e. 5 KeV
- 3. The total absorbed power on nearby masks will be several kW and $\sim 10^{19}$ total photons/sec with substantial numbers above 10x critical energy.

Beam-gas Bremsstrahlung (LER, HER, and VLER)

- 1. In BABAR, with the LER (HER) at 1 nTorr, calculated 10.6 (13.9) GeV/• sec within ±1.5 m of the IP. The PEP-N power losses should be similar and possibly higher near the forward detectors.
- 2. The BABAR 1.5 T axial field does a good job curling up the low energy e⁻ and e⁺ shower particles from masks before they can hit the central tracker.
- 3. Probably want a Hi-Z collimator somewhere in VLER we don't want the limiting aperture of the machine to be the experiment masks.

Radiative Bhabhas

1. The total cross section for this process is very large – 272 mb in BABAR and about 235 mb in PEP-N. However, the PEP-II luminosity is 2-3 orders of magnitude larger than in PEP-N, so this should not be a problem for a fast forward detector.

Beam-gas Electroproduction Near the IP (LER)

Conditions:

•L = 1 m Pressure = 10 nTorr, CO $|\cos \bullet| < 0.975$ P > 100 MeV/c

1. <u>Single particle rates</u>

Protons: Neutrons:	1000	• 90% < 300 MeV/c
• ⁺ , • ⁻ : • ⁰ :	70 150	
2. •• • • •	75 hz	

Summary

- Must consider all 3 rings when estimating backgrounds
- Might have to keep the forward detector elements out of the horizontal plane
- The collaboration needs to identify people to begin work on background calculations
- There is still time to modify the machine design to reduce backgrounds